

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,004	03/22/2004	Daniel E. Morse	1279-281C1/10314284	2466
62836	7590 11/28/2006	EXAMINER		
BERLINE	R & ASSOCIATES	MOORE, MARGARET G		
555 WEST I 31ST STRE	FIFTH STREET ET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LES, CA 90013	•	1712	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/807,004	MORSE ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Margaret G. Moore	1712				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insigns of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMU 16(a). In no event, however, ma ill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	INICATION.  by a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this to a BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	·							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Se</i>	eptember 2006.					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 53</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1 to 53 is/are rejected.							
·								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9) 🗆 :	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	•.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			to by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	-		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correcti	on is required if the draw	ring(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Ex	aminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
·	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.	•	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received i	n Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the prior	ity documents have be	en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certified copies i	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			ew Summary (PTO-413)	İ			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• •				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Applicants' election dated 9/8/06 has been received. Upon a review of the art, particularly the teachings in Morse et al. '438, it does not appear that an election of species was necessary. The Examiner apologizes for this unintentional delay in prosecution.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 to 53 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,670,438. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the non-silicon metalloid-oxane and metallo-oxane or mixed poly (silicon/metallo)oxane polymer prepared by the instant claims is embraced by the dioxane, oligo-oxane or polyoxane product claimed in 6,670,438. The alkoxides and catalysts used in the method claimed are the same as that used in the method of '438. See for instance dependent claims 2 to 6 and 26 to 53 as they correspond to claims 2 to 43 in '438.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 7 - 13 and 16 - 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

## Claim 7:

This claim is confusing because the list of compounds in (a) and (b) include many compounds that are not alkoxides.

## Claims 8 to 11:

This claim is confusing because it does not appear that claim 7 allows for the presence of organosilicon alkoxides. Note that the first compound in (a) of claim 7 specifies a non-silicon metalloid alkoxide.

- 5. The instant claims are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Please note that the claims contain language that was previously held to be patentable over the prior art. Specifically, the claims contain the requirement that the catalyst comprise a molecule having a nucleophilic group that displaces alkanol, facilitating solvolysis, to initiate structure directed condensation.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300..

Application/Control Number: 10/807,004 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571<sub>1</sub>272-1000.

Margaret/G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 11/22/06